## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	DEFENDANTS Bank of America National Association  Attorneys (If Known) Reed Smith LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Suite 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90071  TIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only ace an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)  PTF DEF Of This State  PTF DEF Of Business in this State  Of Another State  Of Another State  District District Judge from Litigation  Magistrate Judge
yourself, provide same.)  Sagaria Law P.C.  333 West San Carlos Street, Suite 1750 San Jose, CA 95110, Phone (408) 279-2288  II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)  1 U.S. Government Plaintiff  2 Government Not a Party)  Citizen of Parties in Item III)  IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)  1 Original  2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated on Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened	Reed Smith LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Suite 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90071  TIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only ace an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)  PTF DEF PTF DEF of This State 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State  Of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State  Or Subject of a Foreign Country 3 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6
(Place I U.S. Government Plaintiff	PTF DEF PTF DEF of This State
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff  ☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)  ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)  ☐ Citizen of  ☐ IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) ☐ 1 Original ☐ 2 Removed from ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated of Reopened	PTF DEF of This State  PTF DEF of State  I l l Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State  of Another State  I l l Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State  or Subject of a Foreign Country  I l Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State  or Subject of a Foreign Country  I l l Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State  or Subject of a Foreign Country  I l l l Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in Another State  or Subject of a Foreign Country  I l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l
of Parties in Item III)  IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)  1 Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened	of Business in Another State or Subject of a Foreign Country
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)  □ 1 Original	or D5 Transferred from another district (specify): D6 Multi-District Judge from
☐ 1 Original ☐ 2 Removed from ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated or Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened	District Judge from
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes No (Chec	
	ck 'Yes' only if demanded in complaint.)
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes Yo	MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$ 10,000 + fees + punitive damages
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing a	and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)
Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 1681 et seq.	
VIL NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)	CORRESCO PERSONNEL DABORE
□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt ○ Organizations □ 151 Medicare Act □ 150 Recovery of □	PROPERTY  The Product of Product of Product of Property Damage of Product of Product Liability of Product Liabilit
152   Recovery of Defaulted   1350   Motor V   1350   Selective Service   153   Recovery of Defaulted   1350   Motor V   1	Vehicle Vehicle A Liability Personal Injury- I
En CV	- 10'9 K VIAD
nu v	12 - 01236 VAP SPX

CV-71 (05/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 1 of 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has to the state of the state o	this action been pre	viously filed in this court and	d dismissed, remanded or closed? ▼No □ Yes		
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have a lf yes, list case number(s):	any cases been prev	viously filed in this court that	t are related to the present case? VNo 🗆 Yes		
□ B. C □ C. F	rise from the same all for determination or other reasons wo	or closely related transaction on of the same or substantiall ould entail substantial duplica	ns, happenings, or events; or by related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.		
IX. VENUE: (When completing the f					
<ul> <li>(a) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State</li> <li>□ Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. If</li> </ul>		utside of this District; State is yees is a named plaintiff. If	f this box is checked, go to item (b).		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Riverside County					
(b) List the County in this District; C  ☐ Check here if the government, its	alifornia County of	utside of this District; State i	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which <b>EACH</b> named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
			North Carolina		
(c) List the County in this District; C Note: In land condemnation ca	California County or	utside of this District; State i	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Riverside County					
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernard	dino, Riverside, Vo	entura, Santa Barbara, or S	San Luis Obispo Counties		
Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved  X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER).  Date  July 25, 2012					
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The	e CV-71 (JS-44) Ci	d h the Indicial ('enterenc	rmation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings see of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)		
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases	:			
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement o	f Cause of Action		
861	ніа	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))			
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)			
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
864	SSID	Act, as amended.	tal security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))			

1	PROOF OF SERVICE						
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )						
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) ss						
4	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 355 South Grand						
5	Avenue, Suite 2900, Los Angeles, California 90071.						
6	I am readily familiar with the firm's business practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service. Under said practice,						
7	business on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or						
9	postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in this declaration.						
10	On July 24, 2012, I served the foregoing document described as: CIVIL COVE SHEET by having placed a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope addressed as follow						
11	Scott J. Sagaria, Esq.						
12	Elliot W. Gale, Esq. Sagaria Law, P.C.						
13	333 W. San Carlos St. Suite 1750						
14	San Jose, California 95110 Telephone: (408) 279-2288						
15 16	Facsimile: (408) 279-2299 Ssagaria@sagarialaw.com Egale@sagarialaw.com						
1	I deposited such envelope with postage thereon prepaid with the United States Postal						
18	Service at 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900, Los Angeles, California.						
19	I am employed in the office of a member of the bar at whose direction service was effected.						
	Executed on July 24, 2012, at Los Angeles, California.						
20 21	Charles Koster harles Hoster						
22	Charles Koster Type or Print Name						
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28	·						

25

26

27

28

# TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant BANK OF AMERICA N.A. (sued as "Bank of America National Association") hereby removes this action described below from the Superior Court of California for Riverside County to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, pursuant to Sections 1331, 1441(b) and (c), and 1446 of Title 28 of the United States Code ("U.S.C."). The removal is based on the following:

- I. REMOVAL IS PROPER BECAUSE THIS COURT HAS SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. SECTIONS 1331 AND 1441
- 1. On June 28, 2012, Plaintiff Arnold Frank ("Plaintiff") filed a complaint ("Complaint") against Bank of America N.A. in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Riverside, entitled Arnold Frank v. Bank of America, National Association. Case No. 1209849 (hereinafter, the "State Court Action"). The Complaint alleges (1) Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. Section 1681 et seq; (2) Violation of the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act ("CCRAA"), California Civil Code Section 1725.25; and (3) Violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200 et seq ("UCL"). The Complaint and Summons are attached hereto as Exhibit A, as required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(a).
- 2. This action is a civil action over which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and is one which may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) in that it is a civil action arising under the laws of the United States, specifically the FCRA.
- 3. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state claims as these claims arise from the same core operative facts relating to Bank of America N.A.'s alleged violations of the FCRA. Accordingly, Plaintiff's state law claims are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

related to Plaintiff's federal question claims, and thereby form a part of the same case and controversy pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

- THE PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR REMOVAL ARE SATISFIED  $\Pi$ .
  - Bank of America N.A. was served with the Complaint on June 29, 2012. 4.
- This Notice of Removal is timely in that it is filed within thirty (30) days 5. from the point at which Bank of America N.A. had notice that the action was removable, and less than a year after the commencement of the state court action. See 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
- The Superior Court of California for the County of Riverside is located 6. within the United States District Court for the Central District of California—Eastern Division. See 28 U.S.C. § 84(c)(2). Thus venue is proper in this Court because it is the "district and division embracing the place where such action is pending." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).
- The only defendant named in the Complaint is Bank of America N.A. 7. Accordingly, all named defendants consent to this removal.
- In compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), Bank of America N.A. will 8. serve on Plaintiff and will file with the Clerk of the Superior Court for the County of Riverside, a written "Notice to the Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of Riverside and To Adverse Parties of Filing of Notice of Removal of Civil Action to Federal Court," attaching a copy of this Notice of Removal and all supporting papers.
- No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein. 9.

WHEREFORE, Bank of America N.A. respectfully removes this action from the California Superior Court for the County of Sonoma to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441 and 1446.

DATED: July 25, 2012

### REED SMITH LLP

By aham J. Colman

Raagini Shah Attorneys for Bank of America N.A.

#### SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

Bank of America, National Association an FDIC insured corporation and DOES 1 through 100 inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

Arnold Frank

SUM-100 FOR COURT USE ORLY (SOLD PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

FILED

JUN 28 2012

D. BARRAZA

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinto.ca.gov/selfheip), your county law library, or the courtinouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filling fee, sex the center for the court of your fee your response on they want the case but default, and your wanter appears and property. the court clerk for a fee walver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an atterney right away. If you do not know an atterney, you may want to call an atterney referred services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate referred service, if you cannot afford an atterney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhetpcalifornia.org), the California Courte Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gow/selfneto), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for walved fees and costs on any selfnetor or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will distinst the case. (AVISO) Lo han demendado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales pera presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia el demandente. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar ente y nacer que se entregue una copia su centremente. Una centra o una mansoa terromice no se protegen, su respuesta por escrito tente que estad pueda usar para su respuesta, en formato legal correcto al desea que processen su ceso en la corta. Es posible que haya un formulario que ustad pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrer estos formularios de la corta y más información en el Centro de Ayude de las Cortas de California (www.sucorta.ca.gov), en le hibilitaca de las condedo o en la corta que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuola de presentación, pida al secretario de la corta que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cualas. Si no presente su respuesta a tiempo, puede parder el caso por incumplimiento y la corta la proción de pago de cualas. Si no presente su respuesta a tiempo, puede parder el caso por incumplimiento y la corta la proción de pago de cualas. Si no presente su respuesta a tiempo, puede parder el caso por incumplimiento y la corta la proción de pago de cualas. Si no presente su respuesta a tiempo, puede parder el caso por incumplimiente y la corta la proción de pago de cualas. Si no presente su respuesta a tiempo, puede parder el caso por incumplimiente y la corta la proción de pago de cualas. Si no presente su respuesta el tempo, puede parder el caso por incumplimiente y la corta la proción de pago de cualas.

que lo de un inmutano de exerción de pago va cultura. El no plesante so respectad dunho por porte de un inmutano de exerción de pago va cultura, el no procesante so respectad de un propose su un abogado, dinero y bitanes sin más adventencia. El no conoce a un abogado, puede liamar a un servicio de Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llama a un abogado inmediatementa. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede liamar a un servicio de un remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpte con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin tines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio vate de California Legal Services, (xww.iavihelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes da California, (xww.sucotte.ca.gov) o poniéndose an contacto con la corte o el (xww.iavihelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes da California, (xww.sucotte.ca.gov) o poniéndose an contacto con la corte o el (xww.iavihelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes da California, (xww.sucotte.ca.gov) o poniéndose an contacto con la corte o el (xww.iavihelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes da California, (xww.sucotte.ca.gov) o poniéndose an contacto con la corte o el (xww.iavihelpcalifornia, (xww.sucotte.ca.gov) o poniéndose an contacto con la corte o el (xww.iavihelpcalifornia, (xww.sucotte.ca.gov) o poniéndose an contacto con la corte o el (xww.iavihelpcalifornia, (xww.sucotte.ca.gov) o poniéndose an contacto con la corte o el (xww.iavihelpcalifornia, (xww.sucotte.ca.gov) o poniéndose an contacto con la corte o el (xww.iavihelpcalifornia, (xww.sucotte.ca.gov) o poniéndose an contacto con la corte de la co pagar el gravamen de la corta antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Riverside

4050 Main Street

Riverside, CA 92501

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teláfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Elliot Gale, 333 West San Carlos Street, Suite 1750 San Jose, CA 95110, 1-408-279-2288

DATE: June 28, 2012 (Fecha)	JUN 28 2012	Clerk, by (Secretario)	D. Berrezdeputy (Adjunio)
The send of contine of this sur	nmons, use Proof of SelVice de citatión use el formulario NOTICE TO THE PERSO	e of Summons (form POS-010).) Proof of Service of Summons, (F DN SERVED: You are served defendent. Led under the fictitious name of (	
	3, 🖾 on behalf of (spe	scity): Bank of America, Nat	tional Association
		is.10 (corporation) 18.20 (defunct corporation) 18.40 (association or partnership)	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 418.70 (conservates) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
	other (s		Page 5 of

Form Adopted for Mitnostory Use Juridal Cource of California S194-100 (Ray, July 1, 2009)

SUMMONS

WAY.COURTEDO.CE OOF

		1				
',						
	GOOTT TOLOUTE AND HOLGOOD					
1	SCOTT J. SAGARIA (BAR # 217981) Ssagaria@sagarialaw.com ELLIOT W. GALE (BAR #263326) Egale@sagarialaw.com					
2	ELLIOT W. GALE (BAR #263326)   Egale@sagarialaw.com	FILED				
3	SAGARIA LAW, P.C. 333 West San Carlos Street, Suite 1750 San Jose, CA 95110	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE				
4	San Jose, CA 95110 408-279-2288 ph 408-279-2299 fax	JUN 28 2012				
5	408-279-2299 fax	D. BARRAZA				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	**************************************				
7						
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE					
10		-000010				
11		CASE NO.: 1209849				
12						
13		COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:				
14	ARNOLD FRANK,	Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act;     Violation of California Consumer Credit				
15	Plaintiff,	Reporting Agencies Act; 3. Violation of California Unfair Business				
16	v.	Practices Act;				
17		BY FAX				
18	BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION an FDIC insured					
19	corporation and DOES 1 through 100					
20	inclusive,					
21	Defendants.					
22						
- 23	COMES NOW Plaintiff ARNOLD FRANK	x, an individual, based on information and belief, to				
24	allege as follows:					
25						
26						
27						
28						
	COMPLAINT - 1					

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks redress for the unlawful and deceptive practices committed by the Defendants in connection with their inaccurate reporting of Plaintiff's discharged debt. In particular, Defendants' conduct involves improperly continuing to report Plaintiff's delinquencies in payment after Plaintiff filed for and was discharged in bankruptcy after receiving notice of Plaintiff's dispute from Transunion. Defendant's also failed to report the debt as disputed. Plaintiff seeks monetary and declaratory relief based on violations of Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. 1681 et. seq., and California Consumer Credit Reporting Act, California Civil Code §1785.1 et seq. Additional causes of actions are stated for violations of the California Business and Professions Code 17200.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference the allegations in each and every paragraph above, fully set forth herein.
- 3. Plaintiff, Arnold Frank (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), is an individual and currently resides in the county of Riverside, California.
- 4. This venue is proper pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 395.5.
- •5. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's allegations pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 410.10 et seq.
- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person and competent adult who at all relevant times in this Complaint resided in the State of California.
- 7. Defendant, Bank of America, National Association (hereinafter "Creditor") is located at 100 North Tyron Street, Charlotte NC 28201. Creditor collects debts on its own behalf throughout the county of Riverside.
- 8. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of Defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each fictitious Defendant was in some way responsible for the matters and things complained of

COMPLAINT-2

herein, and in some fashion, has legal responsibility therefore. When the exact nature

and identity of each fictitious Defendant's responsibility for the matters and things

herein alleged are ascertained by Plaintiff, Plaintiff will seek to amend this Complaint

and all proceedings to set forth the same, pursuant to California Code of Civil

9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times mentioned

herein, each of Defendant is, and at all relevant times herein was, the agent, employee,

and alter ego of each of the remaining Co-Defendants, and in committing the acts

herein alleged, was acting in the scope of their authority as such agents, employees, or

Procedure 474.

alter egos and with the permission and consent of the remaining Co-Defendants.

PRE-LITIGATION CLAIM FILINGS

10. On or about April 4, 2012 Plaintiff sent Experian a written notice disputing Creditor's improper reporting of delinquencies in payment after Plaintiff filed for and was

improper reporting of delinquencies in payment after Plaintiff filed for and was discharged in bankruptcy. Pursuant to Section 1681i(a)(2) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, Experian provided notice to Creditor of Plaintiff's dispute. After receiving notice of Plaintiff's allegations, Creditor verified that it received notice of Plaintiff's from Experian and continued inaccurately reporting the delinquencies in payment.

11. On or about April 4, 2012 Plaintiff sent Transunion a written notice disputing Creditor's improper reporting of delinquencies in payment after Plaintiff filed for and was discharged in bankruptcy. Pursuant to Section 1681i(a)(2) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, Transunion provided notice to Creditor of Plaintiff's dispute. After receiving notice of Plaintiff's allegations, Creditor verified that it received notice of Plaintiff's from Transunion and continued inaccurately reporting the delinquencies in payment

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

12. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference the allegations in each and every paragraph above, as though fully set forth herein.

COMPLAINT - 3

- 13. On May 2, 2011 Plaintiff filed a joint voluntary Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.
- 14. The § 341(a) meeting of creditors was held in Riverside, California on or about June 16, 2011.
- 15. In the Schedules filed with the petition in this case and on the master mailing matrix filed with the Clerk of this Court, two unsecured debts were listed on Schedule F in favor of Creditor in the amounts of \$18,792.00 and \$4,500.00 (hereinafter "Debt").
- 16. On August 16, 2011 Plaintiff was granted a discharge of all dischargeable debts pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 727. Creditor was noticed by electronic transmission of Plaintiff's discharge on August 16, 2011. Since Plaintiff never re-affirmed Creditor's debt during bankruptcy, Plaintiff alleges that this Discharge included the debt to Creditor.
- 17. On April 4, 2012 Plaintiff sent written notice to Transunion and Experian specifically disputing Creditor's inaccurate reporting of delinquencies in payment after Plaintiff file and received a discharge in bankruptcy.
- 18. On May 4, 2012 Plaintiff received a copy of her Service 1<sup>st</sup> credit report a compilation of credit reports from Transunion, Equifax, and Experian in order to verify that the inaccuracies on Plaintiff's credit report were corrected. Creditor continued reporting the delinquencies in payment post bankruptcy discharge to Experian but removed the previously reported delinquencies with Transunion. In addition Creditor, after receiving notice of Plaintiff's allegations from Experian and Transunion, failed to report to both credit reporting agencies that the debt was disputed.
- 19. To date, Creditor refuses to correct Plaintiff's credit report despite being noticed of the original bankruptcy and re-noticed of its inaccurate reporting from Transunion and Experian.
- 20. The actions of Creditor as alleged herein are acts in violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).
- 21. The actions of Creditors as alleged herein are acts in violation of the consumer credit reporting agencies act California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).

22. The actions of Creditors as alleged herein are acts in violation of the California Business and Professions Code § 17200.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation Of Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)) (Against Defendant Creditor and Does 1-100)

- 23. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference the allegations in each and every paragraph above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. Creditor, in the course of regular business, reports information to credit reporting agencies.
- 25. Plaintiff promptly disputed Creditor's inaccurate reporting with Transunion and Experian. Transunion and Experian sent notice of Plaintiff's dispute to Creditor pursuant to Section 1681i(a)(2) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act. Creditor was thereafter under a duty to reasonably investigate Plaintiff's dispute and to modify, delete, or block the information if the investigation finds the information is incomplete or inaccurate pursuant to section 15 U.S.C. 1681s-2(b)(1)(A) & (E).
- 26. Plaintiff is informed that Creditor violated 15 U.S.C. 1681s-2(b)(1)(A) by failing to reasonably investigate Plaintiff's dispute after receiving notice from Transunion and Experian. Specifically, Plaintiff is informed that Creditor, after receiving notice of Plaintiff's dispute from Transunion and Experian, should have discovered from its records, including the two notices sent from the bankruptcy noticing center, that Plaintiff's account was discharged in bankruptcy. Because Plaintiff was no longer personally obligated to pay the preexisting debt with Creditor, Creditor should not have continued reporting any delinquencies in payment to Experian.
- 27. Plaintiff is informed that Creditor violated 15 U.S.C. 1681s-2(b)(1)(E) by failing to discover and remove the derogatory delinquent notation on Plaintiff's credit report. Specifically, Creditor should have removed the disputed delinquencies in payment with Experian after discovering and removing the delinquencies reported to Transunion. In

- addition, Creditor should have reported to Experian and Transunion that Plaintiff's account was in dispute.
- 28. Creditor's failure to correct the previously disclosed inaccuracies on Plaintiff's credit report was intentional and in reckless disregard of its duty to refrain from reporting inaccurate information. Consequently, creditor willfully and negligently failed to comply with its duty to investigate Plaintiff's dispute under 15 U.S.C. 1681(n) & (o).
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of Creditor's willful and untrue communications, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages including but not limited to reviewing credit reports from all three consumer reporting agencies, traveling to and from Plaintiff's counsel's office, sending demand letters, continued impairment to her credit score, and such further expenses in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 30. As a further direct and proximate result of Creditor acts state herein, Plaintiff incurred pain and suffering, was impeded in seeking necessary products and services from vendors and additional credit from other credit agencies.
- 31. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation Of Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act California Civil Code § 1785.25(a)) (Against Defendants Creditor and Does 1-100)

- 32. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference the allegations in each and every paragraph above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. Creditor, in the ordinary course of business, regularly and on a routine basis furnishes information to one or more consumer credit reporting agencies.
- 34. Creditor intentionally and knowingly reported inaccurate and false information regarding delinquency in payment after Plaintiff received a discharge in bankruptcy to credit reporting agencies in violation of California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).
- 35. Creditor should have discovered through investigation that the reported information of Plaintiff's account was inaccurate.

- 36. Creditor failed to correct inaccurate information provided to the agencies as described hereinabove in violation of California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).
- 37. Creditor's communications of false information, and repeated failures to investigate, and correct their inaccurate information and erroneous reporting were done knowingly, intentionally, and in reckless disregard for their duties and Plaintiff's rights.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of Creditors willful and untrue communications, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages including but not limited to reviewing credit reports from all three consumer reporting agencies, traveling to and from Plaintiff's counsel's office, sending demand letters, continued impairment to her credit score, and such further expenses in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 39. As a further direct and proximate result of Creditor acts state herein, Plaintiff incurred pain and suffering, was impeded in seeking necessary products and services from vendors and additional credit from other credit agencies.
- 40. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair Business Practices Act
California Business and Professions Code § 17200)
(Against Defendant Creditor and Does 1-100)

- 58. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference the allegations in each and every paragraph above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. Plaintiff brings this action in individual capacity and on behalf of the general public.
- 60. Creditor at all times relevant to this Complaint, was engaged in the business of collections and providing services on credit to qualified applicants.
- 61. Commencing on or about January 18, 2011 and continuing to the present, Creditor committed the acts of unfair practices as defined by Business and Professions Code § 17200 and described in the above stated Causes of Action.
- 62. Creditor's misleading and unfair practice within the meaning of Business and Professions Code § 17200 specifically includes Creditor's continued inaccurate

- reporting after receiving notice of Plaintiff's dispute in violation of California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).
- 63. These unfair and unlawful business practices of Creditor are likely to continue and therefore will continue to injure Plaintiff and mislead the public by inaccurate record keeping, failure to correct inaccuracies and erroneous dissemination of inaccurate information, and present a continuing threat to the public.
- 65. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- a. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to stop Defendants from engaging in the conduct described above;
- b. Award \$10,000 in statutory and actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and California Civil Code § 1785.31;
- c. Award punitive damages in an amount to deter further unlawful conduct pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n; and California Civil Code § 1785.31
- d. Award attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n & o; and California Civil Code § 1785.31;
- e. For determination by the Court that Creditor's policies and practices are unlawful and in willful violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, et seq.; California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.; and California Civil Code §§ 45, 1785.25(g), et seq.;
- f. For determination by the Court that Creditor's policies and practices are unlawful and in negligent violation of 15 U.S.C. § 16810;
- g. For such other and further relief as the court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Plaintiff hereby demands trial of this matter by jury. 2: .**3**: SAGARIA LAW, P.C. .5 Dated: June 28, 2012 By: Elliot Gale, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff 21. 22: COMPLAINT - 9

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 SS **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** 3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the 4 age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900, Los Angeles, California 90071. 5 I am readily familiar with the firm's business practice of collection and processing 6 correspondence for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service. Under said practice, correspondence is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in the ordinary course of business on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in this declaration. On July 24, 2012, I served the foregoing document described as: NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) AND (c) 10 JFEDERAL QUESTION by having placed a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope 11 addressed as follows: 12 Scott J. Sagaria, Esq. Elliot W. Gale, Esq. Sagaria Law, P.C. 333 W. San Carlos St. 13 14 Suite 1750 San Jose, California 95110 15 Telephone: (408) 279-2288 Facsimile: (408) 279-2299 16 Ssagaria@sagarialaw.com Egale@sagarialaw.com 17 I deposited such envelope with postage thereon prepaid with the United States Postal 18 Service at 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900, Los Angeles, California. 19 I am employed in the office of a member of the bar at whose direction service was effected. 20 Executed on July 24, 2012, at Los Angeles, California. 21 harles letter 22 Charles Koster Type or Print Name 23 24 25 26 27

28